



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,911	03/28/2001	Satoshi Katoh	NE203-US	2416

7590 02/03/2004  
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC  
Suite 200  
8321 Old Courthouse Road  
Vienna, VA 22182-3817

EXAMINER
----------

JOHNSON, TIMOTHY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2625

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/818,911

Applicant(s)

KATOH, SATOSHI

Examiner

Timothy M Johnson

Art Unit

2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3-4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: .

**Claim for Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

**Disclosure**

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The Examiner suggests the following title:

"Image Quality Mode Setting for Changing the Quantization Bit Count of an A/D Converter and Digital Signal Processor (DSP)".

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --  
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagano, 5,687,003.

For claim 1, an image sensing apparatus comprising: an image quality mode setting block for setting one of a plurality of image quality modes is provided by Nagano in at least Fig. 1, block 52, and the last full paragraph in c. 4; a solid state image sensing element for converting an optical image into an electric analog signal is

Art Unit: 2625

provided by Nagano also in at least the last full paragraph in c. 4; and an A/D conversion block for converting the analog signal output from the solid state image sensing element, into a digital signal with a quantization bit count corresponding to an image quality mode set by the image quality mode selection block also in the last full paragraph in c. 4, the first full paragraph in c. 5, and the third full paragraph in c. 6.

For claim 3, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the A/D conversion block is realized by a plurality of A/D converters having different quantization bit counts, and the apparatus further comprises an A/D converter selection block for selecting one of the A/D converters corresponding to the image quality mode set by the image quality mode setting block is provided by Nagano where cited above for claim 1.

For claim 4, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein a higher image quality mode corresponds to a greater bit count and a lower image quality mode corresponds to a smaller bit count is provided by Nagano in at least the last full paragraph in c. 4, e.g. 12 bit and 8 bit counts.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano, 5,687,003, as applied to claims above, and in view of either JP08298601, Koji et al., as cited in the IDS, or JP401176119, Murakami.

For claim 2, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the A/D conversion block is an A/D converter for selecting one of a plurality of quantization bit count corresponding to the image quality mode is considered provided by Nagano where cited above, and by at least blocks 42, 51, and 53, where a specific bit count is clearly selected. While an A/D converter for selecting one of a quantization bit count is considered provided by Nagano by at least blocks 51-53 and 42, because a quantization bit count is selected for an A/D converter, and an A/D converter is selected, either JP08298601 or JP401176119 provides for the same in at least the abstract of both. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select one of a plurality of quantization bit counts corresponding to a mode for an A/D converter, since JP401176119 provides for reducing the power consumption, and JP08298601 provides for avoiding error and deterioration.

7. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano, 5,687,003, as applied to claims above, and in view of Hasegawa et al., 5,384,645.

For claims 5 and 6, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, the apparatus further comprising a digital signal processing DSP block for performing an

Art Unit: 2625

image processing to the digital signal output from the AD conversion block, with a quantization bit count corresponding to an image quality mode set by the image mode setting block, and wherein the digital signal processing block sets a corresponding quantization bit count when an image quality mode is set by the image quality mode setting block is almost implicit with Nagano, since Nagano provides for an image reader in the well known image processing systems as noted in c. 1, lines 10-14, which very commonly provide for at least one image processing function, and since such an image processor gets its input from the image sensing system of Nagao, such system would operate in accordance with the quantization bit count set by Nagano. In any case, Hasegawa explicitly teaches this in at least the second full paragraph in c. 3. Adapting the DSP, i.e. image processing, of Hasegawa with a different quantization bit count is exactly what Hasegawa teaches, and image processing is the next stage in the processing of Nagao, where Nagao outputs different quantization bit counts based on modes. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a DSP for different quantization bit counts, since Hasegawa provides for at least the advantages of a decrease in circuitry heat and therefore a reduction in power consumption as well, improvement in processing speed, and without changing memory to process image data.

8. Claims 7, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano, 5,687,003, and Hasegawa et al., 5,384,645, as applied to claims above, and further in view of Nagai, 2001/0010561.

For claim 7, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 5, the apparatus further comprising a data thinning block for thinning the output signal from the digital signal processing DSP block is not explicitly provided by Nagano, but is conventional and well known, and is provided by Nagai in at least paragraphs 25-26 on page 2, which is provided after or to the output of the digital signal processing as claimed. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to thin the output of a DSP in processing, since this provides for at least fast processing.

For claim 9, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 5, the apparatus further comprising: an image storage block for storing the output signal from the digital signal processing block as an image data; and an image display block for displaying the image according to the output signal from the digital signal processing block, the image display block having a function to select whether to display the image while the image data is being stored in the image storage block is provided by the camera system of Nagai in at least paragraphs 32 and 37, where an image can be displayed or not and can be recorded in memory if desired. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the added feature of displaying or not while recording, since this can provide for saving power and also for selecting how the image is to be record as taught by Nagai.

For claim 10, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 5, the apparatus further comprising: an image storage block for storing the output signal from the digital

Art Unit: 2625

signal processing block as an image data; and an image display block for displaying the image according to the output signal from the digital signal processing block, wherein the solid state image sensing element, the AD conversion block, and the digital signal processing block stop their operations while the image display block is displaying an image according to the image data stored in the image storage block, Nagai provides for storage from the DSP and displaying from the DSP, but does not explicitly recite stopping the operations. However, since Nagai teaches playing back the images stored from memory (play back in paragraphs 45-50 and Fig. 5 as opposed to Fig. 4 picture taking mode), it inherently or clearly follows that the CCD, A/D converter, and DSP are not operating, since the image is not sensed unless in the picture taking mode and if the user presses the shutter-release in Fig. 4. Thus, if there is no image being sensed, then the CCD and all circuitry that come after it do not operate on a signal as claimed.

For claim 11, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein the image sensing apparatus is realized as an electronic still camera is not explicitly provided by Nagano, but Nagano provides for the conventional and well known items of a camera including the well known optical sensor and A/D converters and output thereof. Nagai provides for an electronic still camera in at least the abstract. Nagai can use the A/D converters of Nagano with the electronic still camera of Nagai and vice versa. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use A/D converters with an electronic still camera, since the A/D converters provide for two optional modes for the advantage of speed and high



Art Unit: 2625

resolution, and because the camera has the advantage of features modes including a zoom function, previewing the image, and image enhancement functions including at least gamma correction and color balancing.

9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano, 5,687,003, and Hasegawa et al., 5,384,645, and Nagai, 2001/0010561, as applied to claims above, and in view of Kubo et al., 6,639,626.

For claim 8, the image sensing apparatus as claimed in claim 7, wherein the digital signal processing block performs at least a color interpolation processing is not explicitly provided by Nagai, but color interpolation is very typically used in an image signal processing circuit in a digital camera, such as that of Nagai noted above, where Nagai provides for "processing such as a gamma correction and color balance adjustment". Kubo provides for basically the same thing in a digital camera, but also clearly teaches color interpolation as well in at least Fig. 5, block 211a, Fig. 10, block 81, the last full paragraph in c. 13 and the paragraph bridging cols. 14-15, where the colors are RGB. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use color interpolation with at least the conventional and well known image signal processing of Nagai, since color interpolation is another conventional and well known image signal process, and because it and the other functions (gamma and color balancing) are common with Kubo and Nagai, and also provide for a higher fidelity image.

Art Unit: 2625

10. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano, 5,687,003, and Hasegawa et al., 5,384,645, and Nagai, 2001/0010561, as applied to claims above, and in view of JP 11-261871, Yoshihiro, as cited in the IDS.

For claim 10, see the rejection of at least claim 10 above without respect to Yoshihiro. Since claim 10 above is reasoned logically, Yoshihiro clearly teaches the idea of stopping operations clearly by cutting power to them, which can be used with at least Nagai for cutting power in the different modes and use power where only power is necessary. See the abstract of Yoshihiro. It would've been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cut power to some circuits, since only certain circuits are needed for display as taught by Yoshihiro, which provides for the advantage of saving power, which is very advantageous in small low power digital still cameras.

Art Unit: 2625

**Contact Information**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 306-3096, or the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Bhavesh M. Mehta, whose telephone number is (703) 308-5246.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone numbers are (703) 305-4700, (703) 305-4750, (703) 305-9600, or (703) 305-3800, or Customer Service at (703) 306-0377.

The Group Art Unit FAX number is 703-872-9306.

Timothy M. Johnson  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2625  
January 31, 2004

  
TIMOTHY M. JOHNSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER